1								
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
3		FOR THE NO	RTHERN	DISTR	ICT OF	CALIFORNIA		
4								
5	MP,				No	C-07-05989	VRW	
6		Plaintiff,						
7		v						
8	OFFICE OF HEARINGS,	ADMINISTRATIVE						
9	IIIAKINGS,	·						
10		Defendants.						
11								
12	LINDA PEDRAZA, et al,							
13		Plaintiffs,			No	C-07-04781	VRW	
14		v						
15		NIFIED SCHOOL D	Γ,					
16	et al,							
17		Defendants.						
18				_				
19		RAZA and FRANCI individually an						
20		their son MP,						
21		Plaintiff,			No	C-05-04977	VRW	
22		v				ORDER		
23	ALAMEDA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, et al,							
24		Defendants.		,				
25				/				
26		On Decemb	er 14,	2007,	the al	oove-caption	ned cases, M	Ρ
27	v Office o	of Administrati	ve Hear	rings,	et al	(No C-07-05	5989 VRW) and	d

28 | Linda Pedraza, et al v Alameda Unified School District, et al (No

C-07-04781 VRW), were ordered related to Linda Pedraza and Francisco Pedraza v Alameda Unified School District, et al (No C-05-04977 VRW). Because Nos C-07-05989 and C-07-04781 concern the same claims and issues as No C-05-04977 and pursuant to FRCP 42(a), the actions are consolidated to avoid unnecessary costs or delay. The parties shall file all further pleadings and motions in No C-05-04977, and the clerk is ordered to close the files No C-07-05989 and No C-07-04781 and terminate any pending motions in those cases.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Villah

VAUGHN R WALKER

United States District Chief Judge